# Discovery of Novel, Potent, and Selective Inhibitors of 3-Phosphoinositide-Dependent Kinase (PDK1) 

Sean T. Murphy, ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger}$ Gordon Alton, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Simon Bailey, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Sangita M. Baxi, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Benjamin J. Burke, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Thomas A. Chappie, ${ }^{\ddagger}$ Jacques Ermolieff, ${ }^{\dagger}$ RoseAnn Ferre, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Samantha Greasley, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Michael Hickey, ${ }^{\dagger}$ John Humphrey, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Natasha Kablaoui, ${ }^{\S}$ John Kath, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Steven Kazmirski, ${ }^{\S}$ Michelle Kraus, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Stan Kupchinsky, ${ }^{\dagger}$ John $\mathrm{Li},{ }^{\dagger}$ Laura Lingardo, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Matthew A. Marx, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Dan Richter, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Steven P. Tanis, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Khanh Tran, ${ }^{\dagger}$ William Vernier, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Zhi Xie, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Min-Jean Yin, ${ }^{\dagger}$ and Xiao-Hong Yu ${ }^{\dagger}$<br>${ }^{\dagger}$ Pfizer Global Research and Development, 10770 Science Center Drive, San Diego, California 92121, United States<br>${ }^{\ddagger}$ Pfizer Global Research and Development, 558 Eastern Point Road, Groton, Connecticut 06340, United States<br>${ }^{\S}$ Pfizer Global Research and Development, 620 Memorial Drive, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, United States

S Supporting Information


#### Abstract

Analogues substituted with various amines at the 6-position of the pyrazine ring on (4-amino-7-isopropyl-7Hpyrrolo $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidin-5-yl)pyrazin-2-ylmethanone were discovered as potent and selective inhibitors of PDK1 with potential as anticancer agents. An early lead with 2-pyridine-3-ylethylamine as the pyrazine substituent showed moderate potency and selectivity. Structure-based drug design led to improved potency and selectivity against PI3K $\alpha$ through a combination of cyclizing the ethylene spacer into a saturated, five-membered ring and 

PI3K- $\alpha$ sel. $2 x$ 

PI3K- $\alpha$ sel. 1000x substituting on the 4 -position of the aryl ring with a fluorine. ADME properties were improved by lowering the lipophilicity with heteroatom replacements in the saturated, five-membered ring. The optimized analogues have a PDK1 $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 1 nM and $>100$-fold selectivity against PI3K/AKT-pathway kinases. The cellular potency of these analogues was assessed by the inhibition of AKT phosphorylation (T308) and by their antiproliferation activity against a number of tumor cell lines.


## - INTRODUCTION

3'-Phosphoinositide dependent kinase-1 (PDK1) belongs to the AGC family of kinases and was first identified by Kobayashi and Cohen. ${ }^{1}$ PDK1 is part of the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K)/AKT pathway, which is one of the major cellular pathways involved in cell survival, differentiation, growth, and protein expression. This signal transduction pathway is downstream of several growth factor receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK's), such as the epidermal growth factor receptor, the insulin-like growth factor receptor-1, and the insulin receptor. Upon binding, these RTK's activate PI3K $\alpha$, which catalyzes the phosphorylation of phosphatidylinositol-(3,4)-biphosphate to generate biologically active phosphaditylinositol-(3,4,5)-triphosphate $\left(\mathrm{PIP}_{3}\right)$. The formation of $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ triggers the colocalization at the membrane of two Ser/Thr kinases, PDK1 and AKT, both of which bind to $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ through their pleckstrin homology (PH) domains. PDK1 phosphorylates the activation loop of AKT at threonine-308 (T308), thereby activating AKT to drive downstream signaling. ${ }^{2}$

PDK1 has been shown to be a critical regulator of the PI3K/ AKT pathway, which is frequently activated in cancer cells due to either the loss of phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) activity or the increase of PI3K/AKT activity. ${ }^{3}$ A hypomorphic mutation of PDK1 protects PTEN $\pm$ mice from developing a wide range of tumors. ${ }^{4}$ Overexpression of PDK1
in murine mammary cells led to transformation, and isografts into syngenic mice led to tumor formation. ${ }^{5}$ A recent review paper discusses evidence that PDK1 is implicated in human cancer and in resistance to chemotherapeutics. ${ }^{6}$ As such, there is considerable activity in the pharmaceutical industry to develop potent PDK1 inhibitors. ${ }^{7-10}$

A number of PDK1 inhibitors have been reported in the literature, some of which are shown in Figure 1. The earliest examples (Figure $1 \mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C})^{11-13}$ had been published at the time our work began. The work of Stauffer et al. ${ }^{13}$ on the SAR of inhibitor $\mathbf{C}$ demonstrated that the cellular response when measuring AKT-T308 could be complicated by a lack of PI3K selectivity, and their cellular activity correlated better with PI3K inhibition than PDK1 inhibition. PI3K selectivity was not reported for the other early inhibitors (A and B), and we were concerned that they were not selective enough to test the antiproliferative effects of PDK1 inhibition. Furthermore, the report for inhibitor B showed a lack of correlation between PDK1 inhibition and cellular potency, ${ }^{12}$ while inhibitor $\mathbf{A}$ is structurally similar to sunitinib, which is a potent inhibitor of many kinases. ${ }^{14}$

[^0]

A, BX-517 (2007) PDK1 IC50 $=6 \mathrm{nM}$


B, Wyeth (2007) PDK1 IC50 $=60 \mathrm{nM}$


C, Novartis (2008) PDK1 IC50 = 34 nM


D, Nerviano (2010)
PDK1 IC50 = 85 nM


E, GSK2334470 (2010)
PDK1 IC50~10 nM

F, Sunesis \& Biogen-Idec
profiled by Merck (2010)
PDK1 EC50 $=1 \mathrm{nM}$

Figure 1. Selected PDK1 inhibitors disclosed in the literature.

More recently (while this manuscript was in preparation), three more papers have appeared describing potent and selective PDK1 inhibitors (Figure 1D-F). ${ }^{8-10}$ Of particular note is compound F, described by co-workers at Sunesis and Biogen-Idec ${ }^{15}$ and profiled by researchers at Merck. ${ }^{10}$ It is equipotent with the optimized analogues described in this work and has excellent selectivity, and their biological results are consistent with our findings.

To enhance our confidence in the preclinical pharmacological assessment of PDK1 as an anticancer target, we sought potent PDK1 inhibitors with excellent selectivity over the other pathway kinases: PI3K, AKT, S6K, and mTOR. In the present study, we describe the discovery of 3-carbonyl-4-aminopyrrolopyrimidine analogues as potent PDK1 inhibitors with more than 100 -fold selectivity against 38 of 39 kinases ( $97 \%$ ), including the PI3K pathway kinases PI3K, AKT, S6K, and mTOR.

## - SYNTHESIS

The general synthesis for the analogues in this series is shown in Scheme 1. Reaction of chloropyrazine 1 (see Supporting Information for synthesis) with excess amine in a polar, aprotic

Scheme 1. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (a) diisopropylethylamine, cesium fluoride, anhydrous DMSO, $110-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
solvent with cesium fluoride as a catalyst led to efficient displacement of the chloride. This reaction was broadly effective and provided access to several hundred analogues from a wide variety of primary and secondary amines.

The cis-2-arylcyclopentylamines ( $\mathbf{6 a}$ and $\mathbf{6 d}$, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) were synthesized by the method of Shepherd et al. ${ }^{16}$ as shown in Scheme 2. The N-methyl analogue (6e) was synthesized by standard chemistry (see Scheme 2). The same general sequence was utilized to make the 3,4-disubstituted tetrahydrofuran ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) and 3,4-disubstituted pyrrolidine ( $\mathbf{6 c}$ ) analogues. After $\mathbf{6 c}$ was coupled to 1, the Boc group was removed with HCl in dichloromethane to give the final compound.

The synthesis of the 3,4-disubstituted tetrahydrofuran 7 (Scheme 3) followed the procedure of Andreotti and Ward. ${ }^{17}$ The 3,4-disubstituted pyrrolidine analogues $13 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ were made from aldehyde 8 using a phosphine-catalyzed $[3+2]$ cycloaddition first disclosed by Lu and $\mathrm{Xu}^{18}$ The elimination of the sulfinate under basic conditions gave the pyrrole, which was then protected with the Boc group to give 10. Reduction with Adams' catalyst gave the desired cis-stereochemistry on the pyrrolidine ring 11. After saponification of the ester and Boc removal by treatment with acid, the amine was variously substituted with a Cbz, formyl, or acetyl group to give intermediates 12a-c. The carboxylic acid was then converted into an amine via a Curtius rearrangement to give the desired amine products 13a-c. Because a significant amount of desBoc product was observed after the Curtius rearrangement, Boc anhydride was added to the reaction mixture to form the Bocprotected amine, which could then be easily purified by column chromatography.

After coupling intermediate $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ to $\mathbf{1}$, the Cbz group was removed by hydrogenolysis, which was followed by treatment with acetic anhydride to give the desired final product

Scheme 2. ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (a) $4-\mathrm{Z}-\mathrm{PhMgBr}, \mathrm{CuI}$, THF ( $\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H}$ or F ); (b) phthalimide, diisopropyl azodicarboxylate, resin-bound $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF; (c) anhydrous hydrazine, toluene, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{6 b}\right.$, and $\mathbf{6 d}$ ) or hydrazine monohydrate, ethanol, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(6 \mathbf{c})$; (d) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (f) HCl .

Scheme 3. ${ }^{a}$






13b: $Z=F, R=M$
13c, $Z=F, R=H$
12c, $Z=F, R=H$
${ }^{a}$ Conditions: (a) $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$, but-2-ynoic acid ethyl ester, $\mathrm{PBu}_{3}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}-$ etherate, toluene, reflux; (b) $\mathrm{KOtBu}, \mathrm{THF} ; ~(c) \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4$-DMAP; (d) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 45$ psi, ethanol; (e) $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$, dioxane, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (f) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OBn}$, $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$; ethyl formate, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;(\mathrm{g})$ diphenylphosphoryl azide, triethylamine, toluene, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then KOtBu , $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (h) HCl , dioxane, methylene chloride.
(26; see Table 1). During the hydrogenolysis of the adduct of 13a and 1, an over-reduced product was observed by LC/MS (mass plus two, product not identified), which lowered the overall yield. To avoid the low yield in the hydrogenolysis reaction when making the fluoro derivatives ( $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ ), the formyl and acetyl groups were installed before coupling to $\mathbf{1}$, as shown in Scheme 3. The free amine analogue (23, Table 1) was made from the formyl derivative 24 by treating with aqueous hydrochloric acid in methanol at ambient temperature.

Single enantiomers were desired and were accessed by the separation of enantiomers using chiral SFC separation of intermediates before coupling to $\mathbf{1}$ or on the final analogues (see Experimental Section for details). The absolute stereochemistry of the most active enantiomer was determined by crystallization in PDK1 for several analogues (18, 20, 21, and 26; see Figure 7 for one example), all of which showed the absolute stereochemistry as depicted in Schemes 2 and 3 and in Table 1 (see below).

## ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The PDK1 program began with a screen of selected compounds from the Pfizer corporate file. Of the resultant hits, the ligand efficient (LE) ${ }^{19}$ inhibitor 14 (Figure 2) was selected for follow-up, including cocrystallization with PDK1. Analysis of the X-ray structure of 14 bound in PDK1 revealed a hydrogen bond between the carbonyl of the ligand and Thr-222. Analysis of the structure of ATP in PDK1 shows a hydrogen bond to Thr-222
through an intermediary water. The displacement of the water in the ATP/PDK1 structure could contribute to the high binding efficiency of $14 .{ }^{20}$ Various replacements for the isopropyl group were tried, but the more lipophilic groups (e.g., cyclobutyl, cyclopentyl, sec-butyl) were not significantly more potent, and the more polar groups (e.g., ethyl, hydroxyethyl, aminoethyl) lost 2fold or more in potency (data not shown).

Since PI3K inhibition could itself reduce the amount of phospho-AKT and confound the analysis of inhibition of T308 phosphorylation due to PDK1, the program placed an emphasis on obtaining analogues selective against PI3K $\alpha$. Initial analogues afforded a few promising leads for building selective compounds such as the pyridyl-containing analogue 15 (Figure 3) with 7 -fold selectivity against PI3K (in contrast, 14 only had 2 -fold selectivity against PI3K). An analysis of the cocrystal structure led to hypotheses to improve both the potency in PDK1 and the selectivity against PI3K, as discussed below.

Figure 4 shows the cocrystal structure of 15 in PDK1. The substituents on the ethyl linker are in a gauche conformation (see lower right of Figure 4), rather than the usual anti conformation. The gauche conformation is necessary to efficiently fill the pocket under the G-loop of PDK1. To improve potency, we envisioned locking the flexible ethyl linker into a five-membered ring which would position the aryl ring under the G-loop and in the bioactive conformation. The pyridine ring was replaced with a phenyl ring because the nitrogen was not close enough to Ser-94 to make a significant hydrogen bond ( $3.3 \AA$ ) and because unblocked pyridines are associated with increased risk of CYP inhibition. ${ }^{21}$ No loss in potency was anticipated because the phenyl analogue of 15 was equipotent (PDK1 $K_{\mathrm{i}} 29 \mathrm{nM}$ ).
Figure 5 shows 15 modeled into PI3K $-\gamma{ }^{22}$ Analysis of analogue $\mathbf{1 5}$ in PI3K- $\gamma$ led to two hypotheses to improve the selectivity. First, substitution of the 4 -position of the terminal aryl ring (pyridyl in 15) with a fluorine was hypothesized to bump into Pro-810 in PI3K- $\gamma$. Second, methylation of the NH attached to the pyrazine was expected to bump into Ile-963. Both the fluorine and methyl groups were predicted to be at least tolerated by PDK1 and possibly beneficial to binding.

Figure 6 shows the dramatic improvement in potency and selectivity in the ring-locked analogue 17 compared to the open-chain analogue 15 . The ca. 15 -fold increase in potency ( $\Delta G=1.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) is consistent with the energy gain from freezing two rotatable bonds (est. $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ per rotatable bond) along with additional van der Waals interactions from one of the linker ring atoms (est. $0.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ per sp 3 carbon). ${ }^{23}$ Furthermore, in agreement with the predictions from the crystal structure, the selectivity against $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ was


ATP in PDK1


14 in PDK1 PDK1 Ki 100 nM (LE 0.42)

Figure 2. ATP and cmpd 14 shown with key interaction to PDK1 protein.


Figure 3. Early lead 15 with moderate selectivity against PI3K.


Figure 4. X-ray crystal structure of 15 (orange stick representation) bound to PDK1 (shown in green backbone trace).


Figure 5. X-ray crystal structure of 16 (blue stick representation) bound to PI3K- $\gamma^{22}$ and analogue 15 (orange stick representation) modeled into the PI3K pocket by aligning the hinge binder.
improved by the substitution of a fluoro at the 4-position of the phenyl ring (18) and by methylation of the pyrazine-NH (19). Because the N -methylated analogue 19 showed N-demethylation as a major clearance pathway and because the potency and selectivity were not significantly different from those of the desmethyl analogue 18, further analogues focused on the desmethyl series. The X-ray structures of ether analogue 20 (see Table 1 below for structure) and others (18, 21, and 26, data not shown) in PDK1 confirmed the expected placement of the aryl ring under the G-loop (see Figure 7).
Table 1 shows some in vitro ADME and selectivity data for the cyclopentyl linker analogue 18. This analogue has poor

Table 1. In Vitro ADME and Selectivity for Ring-Locked Analogues ${ }^{a}$


| \# | X | Y | Z | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ? } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  | in vitro ADME |  |  |  | Selectivity ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \frac{0}{3} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum_{i=1}^{\sim}$ | $\underset{\substack{\underset{\sim}{n} \\ \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \hline \\ \hline}}{\text { n }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{=}^{i} \\ & \frac{y}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 18 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | F | 4.6 | 1.0 | 85 | 6 | 6 | 160 | 470 | 230 | 210 | 3900 |
| 20 | O | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | F | 2.9 | 0.6 | 53 | 23 | 13 | 160 | 570 | 170 | 260 | 500 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | O | F | 2.9 | 1.3 | 23 | 120 | 13 | 220 | 430 | 61 | 230 | 3900 |
| 22 | NH | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | F | 2.8 | 2.5 | 27 | 480 | 2 | 59 | 370 | 11 | 10 | 1100 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | NH | F | 2.8 | 1.0 | 27 | 520 | 5 | 92 | 150 | 120 | 100 | 2100 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | NCHO | F | 2.4 | 0.7 | 38 | 290 | 11 | 62 | 130 | 890 | 170 | 2800 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | NAc | F | 2.3 | 1.4 | 37 | 390 | 11 | 120 | 1300 | 10000 | 360 | 2600 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | NAc | H | 2.1 | 1.4 | 54 | 510 | 11 | 110 | 1900 | 3600 | 1100 | 3900 |

${ }^{a}$ All compounds are single enantiomers with $\geq 95 \%$ ee. ${ }^{b_{\%}}$ inhibition at 3 uM ; maximum value from CYP-1A2, -2C9, -2D6, and -3A4; the most strongly inhibited enzyme was CYP-3A4 in all cases in the table. ${ }^{c}$ Solubility tested at Analiza, ${ }^{25} \mathrm{pH} 6.5$, in $\mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{d}$ Passive permeability in canine kidney cells, $\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$. ${ }^{e}$ Human liver microsome clearance, $\mu \mathrm{L} /(\mathrm{min} \mathrm{mg}) .{ }^{f} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ against kinase shown: green, $>100 \mathrm{nM}$; yellow, $30-$ 100 nM ; red, $<30 \mathrm{nM}$.

$\begin{array}{lr}\text { PDK1 Ki } & 2 \mathrm{nM} \\ \text { PI3K Ki } & 130 \mathrm{nM}\end{array}$ PI3K Ki $\begin{array}{r}130 \mathrm{nM} \\ (65 \text {-fold) }\end{array}$

0.6 nM 320 nM
(500-fold)

0.9 nM

650 nM
(700-fold)

Figure 6. Ring-locked derivatives of $\mathbf{1 5}$.


Figure 7. Superposition of the X-ray crystal structures of PDK1 bound to $\mathbf{1 5}$ (orange sticks/green backbone trace) and 20 (pink sticks and backbone trace).
solubility, high clearance, and high CYP3A4 inhibition, likely arising in part due to the compound's high lipophilicity. ${ }^{24}$ Further designs targeted analogues with $\operatorname{clog} \mathrm{P}<3$ to improve the overall probability of favorable ADME properties. Because the 4-fluorophenyl ring demonstrated good selectivity against PI3K, that moiety was left intact and the lipophilicity was lowered by replacing the methylenes in the cyclopentyl ring with heteroatoms. Further SAR on replacements for the 4fluorophenyl ring will be reported in a future publication.

Replacement of the cyclopentyl ring with two isomeric tetrahydrofuran rings gave analogues 20 and 21, lowering the clogP by 1.7 units. The PDK1 potency was maintained while the permeability and solubility improved in both cases. Isomer 21 also reduced the CYP inhibition although the selectivity against 56 K was reduced in this analogue to ca. 50 -fold.

Substitution of the methylenes with nitrogen gave the basic pyrrolidine analogues 22 and 23, which lowered the clogP by about the same degree as that for the ethers (measured LogD values at pH 7.4 were 1.7 and 2.4 , respectively). Once again, the PDK1 potency was maintained. While the solubility and CYP inhibition were good, the passive permeability suffered, possibly from a desolvation penalty of the basic amines. The selectivities for these two isomers were dramatically different, with 22 becoming more potent against S6K and AKT.
Formylation and acetylation of the basic amine in 23 gave 24 and 25, respectively. These analogues reduced the clogP by more than 2 units from 18 while maintaining potency. As expected for analogues in this clogP range, the solubility, CYP inhibition, and permeability were moderate to good; however, the HLM clearance was still high. The additional polarity did not adversely affect the
permeability, and both analogues had excellent selectivity against all the PI3K-pathway kinases in the panel. Compound 24 improved the potency over 100 -fold from 14 and has an LE of $0.38{ }^{26}$

Since the acetyl group in analogue 25 gave a boost in selectivity over the formyl group in 24, the des-fluoro analogue of $\mathbf{2 5}$ was made to see if selectivity could be maintained against PI3K and the other pathway kinases. Indeed, the des-fluoro analogue 26 maintained potency and selectivity. The in vitro ADME was similar to 25 with the exception of higher CYP inhibition ( $54 \%$ at $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against CYP3A4).

The in vitro cellular potencies (reduction of pAKT-T308) for analogues 24-26 are shown in Table 2. The two fluorinated

Table 2. In Vitro Cellular Potency

|  |  | ${\text { cell } \mathrm{IC}_{50}{ }^{a}(\mathrm{nM}) \pm \text { std dev }}^{$  cmpd $}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PDK1 K $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | SKOV3 | A549 |
| 24 | 0.7 | $70 \pm 30(n=8)$ | $50 \pm 20(n=2)$ |
| 25 | 1.4 | $70 \pm 40(n=6)$ | $80 \pm 10(n=2)$ |
| 26 | 1.4 | $200 \pm 50(n=5)$ | $300 \pm 130(n=2)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Inhibition of pAKT-T308 using the ELISA assay in the tumor cell lines SKOV3 or A549.
pyrrolidine amide analogues, 24 and 25 , showed good potency in two different cell lines. The des-fluoro analogue 26 was less potent in the cell assay. The approximate 100 -fold shift between $K_{i}$ and cellular $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was consistent with the analogues in this series.

To assess broader selectivity, analogues 24-26 were profiled against a larger panel of kinases from Invitrogen (kinases inhibited at $70 \%$ or more are shown in Table 3; see Supporting

Table 3. Kinases Inhibited at $>70 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$

|  | $\%$ inhibition at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}^{a}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gene/kinase | cmpd 24 | cmpd 25 | cmpd 26 |
| CHEK2/Chk2 | 98 | 99 | 94 |
| MARK1 | 84 | 74 | 47 |
| STK6/Aurora A | 81 | 80 | 54 |
| NTRK1/TrkA | 74 | 63 | 59 |
| PRKACA | 74 | 58 | 30 |
| GSK3 $\beta$ | 66 | 76 | 42 |
| CAMK2A | 62 | 73 | 32 |

${ }^{a}$ All values an average of $n=2$ or greater.
Information for results from the full panel of 36 kinases). Both compounds with the 4 -fluoro substituent ( 24 and 25 ) inhibited five other kinases at $>70 \%$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while the des-fluoro analogue 26 only inhibited one other kinase (Chk2) above 70\%.

Because activity against the kinases MARK1, Aurora A, TrkA, and GSK3 $\beta$ might contribute to antiproliferative effects in
culture and confound the interpretation of the results for PDK1 inhibition, the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for these kinase were measured (Table 4). While all three analogues are greater than 100 -fold

Table 4. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ against Off-Target Kinases

|  | $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ for kinase $(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kinase | cmpd 24 | $\mathrm{cmpd} \mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathrm{cmpd} \mathbf{2 6}$ |
| AurA | 140 | 460 | 820 |
| GSK3 $\beta$ | 180 | 340 | 860 |
| MARK1 | 110 | 590 | 1300 |
| TrkA | 200 | 620 | 910 |

selective against these four kinases, analogues with the acetamide group ( 25 and 26) are more selective than the formamide analogue (24). A potency of $c a .100 \mathrm{nM}$ for 24 against Aurora A, MARK1, and PI3K (Table 1) could potentially contribute to moderate activity in an antiproliferation assay, whereas there is less concern with analogues 25 and 26.
The antiproliferative activity for 24-26 was measured in two tumor cell lines, A549 and H460 (Table 5). In A549, even though good levels of pAKT-T308 reduction are observed, the levels of antiproliferative activity were modest at best with 20to 30 -fold increase between $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$. In the H 460 cell line, lower reductions in pAKT-T308 levels were seen with similar levels of antiproliferative activity, resulting in a lower fold increase between $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ and $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (ca. 5-fold). The modest growth inhibition in H 460 for these selective PDK1 inhibitors was disappointing considering that both a PI3K-selective inhibitor ${ }^{27}$ and an mTOR-selective inhibitor ${ }^{28}$ had an EC50 ca. 100 nM in the same cell line.
In summary, we have discovered potent inhibitors of PDK1 with excellent selectivity within the pathway (PI3K, AKT, S6K, and mTOR) and against a broader range of kinases. The PI3K selectivity was achieved by filling under the G-loop, and the solubility, permeability, and CYP liability were improved by lowering the lipophilicity of the selective lead 18 . While the potent and selective PDK1 inhibitors effectively reduced pAKT-T308 in tumor cells in vitro, they had only modest effects in preventing tumor cell growth in the in vitro antiproliferation assay.

## - EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reagents and General Enzymatic Assay Conditions. EDTA, Tris, and Hepes buffer, DMSO, ATP, DTT, magnesium chloride, Brij35, and Triton X-100 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). Fluorescent labeled AKT substrate (5FAM-GRPRTSSFAEGCONH2) and S6K substrate (5FAM-KRSRTRTDSYSAGQ-COOH, respectively) were purchased from Caliper LifeSciences (Hopkinton, MA) and CPC Scientific (San Jose, Ca), respectively. The PDK1 Omnia peptide (Ac-Sox-PKTFCGTPEYLAPEVRREPRILSEEE-QEMFRDFDYIAD- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ) was purchased from Invitrogen Life Tech-
nologies (Carlsbad, CA). The recombinant GST-tagged P70S6K (a.a. 1-421), His-tagged AKT1 (full length), and GST-tagged mTOR (a.a. 1360-2549) were purchased from Invitrogen. Recombinant human His-tagged PDK1 catalytic domain (a.a. 51-359) was made in-house at Pfizer La Jolla. ${ }^{29}$

All the kinetic experiments were conducted at room temperature $\left(\sim 22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and the concentrations of reagents reported in the following sections are reported as final in the media buffer. All the experimental data were generated in duplicate and were fitted using nonlinear regression analysis software, GraphPad Prism 5. ${ }^{30}$

Selectivity Screening against Kinase Panel. $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were determined for AKT1 and P70S6K (S6K), using a Caliper EZ-reader instrument from Caliper LifeScience. For AKT1, kinetic studies were conducted in the presence of 2.2 nM enzyme, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 5FAM-peptide in a 100 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.003 \%$ Brij35, 1 mM DTT assay buffer at pH 7.4. The substrate and product were separated on the basis of charge using upstream, downstream voltages of $-2800 \mathrm{~V},-380 \mathrm{~V}$, respectively, and a screening pressure of 0.8 psi. For S6K, kinetic studies were conducted in the presence of 5 nM enzyme, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 5FAM-peptide in a 20 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}, 15 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}{ }_{2}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM DTT assay buffer at pH 7.4. The substrate and product were separated using upstream and downstream voltages of -2500 V and -500 V , respectively, and a screening pressure of -1.2 psi. For PDK1, kinetic studies were conducted in the presence of 20 nM enzyme, $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP, and $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ Sox-peptide in a 50 mM Hepes, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.01 \%$ Brij35, 1 mM DTT assay buffer at pH 7.4. The increase of fluorescence ( $\lambda_{\mathrm{ex}}=360 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=485 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) was recorded using a Safire TECAN plate reader. For mTOR, an in vitro LanthaScreen kinase assay (Invitrogen - Life Technologies) was conducted to determine the level of phosphorylation of the protein substrate 4E-BP1 at Thr46 in a TR-FRET format. The phosphorylation of GFP-4E-BP1 at Thr46 is recognized by the Terbium-anti-p4E-BP1 antibody, which results in time-resolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer (TR-FRET) between GFP and terbium in the antibodyproduct complex. Kinetic studies were conducted in the presence of 1 nM mTOR, $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GFP-4E-BP1, 2 mM antibody (added at the end of the reaction), $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATP in a 50 mM Hepes, 10 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EGTA, $0.01 \%$ Tween-20, assay buffer at pH 7.4 . For PI3K $\alpha$, the kinase reaction mixture (i.e. 0.5 nM mouse $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ diluted in assay buffer, $30 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PIP}_{2}$, compound, 5 mM $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$, and $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ATP}$ ) was incubated for 30 min prior to adding EDTA $(10 \mathrm{mM})$ to stop the reaction. The mixture was added to the Detector/Probe mix containing 480 nM GST-Grp1 PH Domain and 12 nM TAMRA tagged fluorescent PIP $_{3}$ dissolved in assay buffer for 3 min . Polarization ( mP ) values decreased as TAMRA tagged $\mathrm{PI}(3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$ probe binding to the GST-Grp1 PH domain was displaced by $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$ produced by the $\mathrm{PI} 3 \mathrm{~K} \alpha$ enzymatic reaction. Polarization of fluorescence studies were conducted in a 50 mM Hepes $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, and $0.05 \%$ CHAPS.

The data from the broad kinase selectivity panel were provided by Invitrogen as fee-for-service and were generated in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of inhibitor against a panel of 35 selected kinases.
$K_{\mathrm{i}}$ Determination. $K_{\mathrm{i}}^{\text {app }}$ and $K_{\mathrm{i}}$ were calculated by fitting the experimental data to the following equations: ${ }^{31}$

Table 5. In Vitro Cellular Potency in Biomarker and Functional Assays

| cmpd | PDK1 $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | A549 (nM) |  |  | H460 (nM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{pAKT}^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | proliferation ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ | fold shift ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{pAKT}^{a} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | proliferation ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ | fold shift ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 24 | 0.7 | 50 | 1800 | 36 | 120 | 700 | 6 |
| 25 | 1.4 | 80 | 2200 | 28 | 160 | 1900 | 12 |
| 26 | 1.4 | 300 | 5200 | 17 | 320 | 1700 | 5 |

${ }^{a}$ ELISA pAKT-T308 inhibition assay. See Table 2 for statistics. ${ }^{b}$ Cell proliferation assay using resazurin, $n=2$, individual values within $30 \%$ of average. ${ }^{c}$ Proliferation $\mathrm{EC}_{50} /$ pAKT IC $_{50}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{\mathrm{i}}= & V_{\mathrm{o}}\left(1-\left(\left\{[E]_{\mathrm{o}}+[I]_{\mathrm{o}}+K_{i}^{\text {app }}\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-\sqrt{\left([E]_{\mathrm{o}}+[I]_{\mathrm{o}}+K_{i}^{\mathrm{app}}\right)^{2}-4[E]_{\mathrm{o}}[I]_{\mathrm{o}}}\right\} / 2[E]_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{app}}=K_{\mathrm{i}}\left(1+\left[A_{\mathrm{o}}\right] / K_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$[\mathrm{E}]_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $[\mathrm{I}]_{\mathrm{o}}$ are the total active enzyme and inhibitor concentrations, respectively; $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the inhibition binding constant; $V_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $V_{\mathrm{o}}$ are the rates of peptide phosphorylation in the presence or in the absence of inhibitor, respectively; $[A]_{0}$ is the total ATP concentration.
pAKT-T308 ELISA Assay. Cells were plated at 50,000 cells/well in a 96-well microtiter plate and allowed to adhere overnight. Inhibitor compounds were added to each well (3-fold serial dilution, range 0.0169 nM to $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ for 2 h prior to cell lysis. After compound treatment, cells were lysed and lysate transferred to the ELISA plate. The pAKT T308 ELISA was performed per the manufacturer's directions (Cell Signaling Technology). All assays were run in duplicate and have been repeated at least twice.

Cell Proliferation Assay. Cells were plated at 3,000 cells/well in a 96-well microtiter plate and allowed to adhere overnight. Inhibitor was added to each well (3-fold serial dilution, range 0.0169 nM to $10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and incubated. At 72 h postcompound addition, $250 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ of resazurin was added to all wells. Plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 6 h followed by capture of the fluorescence signal using emission 590 nm and excitation 560 nm . All assays were run in duplicate and have been repeated at least twice.

General Chemistry Experimental. All reagents and solvents were used as purchased from commercial sources. Flash chromatography was run on ISCO or Biotage compatible silica gel cartridges and eluted with ethyl acetate in heptane unless otherwise noted. All reactions were performed under a positive pressure of nitrogen, at ambient temperature, and in anhydrous solvents, unless otherwise indicated. Microwave assisted reactions were run in a Smith Creator (Personal Chemistry). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker instrument operating at 300 or 400 MHz as indicated. The mass spectra were obtained using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) on an Agilent instrument using atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) or electrospray ionization (ESI). All test compounds showed $>95 \%$ purity as determined by combustion analysis. Combustion analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Inc. (Norcross, GA). Chiral SFC separations were run on a $21.2 \mathrm{~mm} \times$ 250 mm column at $100 \mathrm{bar}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $60-65 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ unless otherwise noted.

General Procedure for Final Compounds (2). A mixture of (4-amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)-(6-chloro-pyrazin-2-yl)methanone (1) ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), primary or secondary amine ( 0.47 mmol ), cesium fluoride ( 146 mg , 0.96 $\mathrm{mmol})$, and Hunig's base ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMSO $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The crude was filtered to remove salts and purified by reverse phase HPLC to provide title compounds as yellow solids.
(trans)-3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (4c). A solution of 6-oxa-3-aza-bicyclo[3.1.0]-hexane-3-carboxylic acid tert-butyl ester ( $3 \mathrm{c}, 2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2-Me-THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of the 4 fluorophenylmagnesium bromide ( 2.0 M in THF, $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper iodide $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 16 h . The reaction was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched with sat. aq ammonium chloride. The product was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organics were washed with sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash chromatography to give the title compound 4 c as a yellow oil $(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.49$ (s, 9 H ), 2.02 (br s, 1 H ), $3.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$
(dd, $J=11.1,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), 7.19-7.25 (m, 2 H ). LC-MS (APCI ${ }^{+}$) 182 (M + H-Boc).
cis-3-(1,3-Dioxo-1,3-dihydroisoindol-2-yl)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (5c). To a solution of alcohol $4 \mathrm{c}(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, phthalimide $(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triphenylphosphine $(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added slowly diisopropyl azodicarboxylate ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was stirred for 16 h . The solution was concentrated in vacuo, dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with 2 M aqueous sodium hydroxide and brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash chromatography to give the title compound 5 c as a white solid $(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $3.76-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{spt}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.11(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4$, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right): 311$ (M + H-Boc).
cis-3-Amino-4-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylic Acid tert-Butyl Ester (6c). A solution of phthalimide 5 c ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydrazine monohydrate $(3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The reaction was concentrated from ethanol 3-times to remove excess hydrazine. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and filtered to remove solids. The filtrate was absorbed onto an SCX column and rinsed with methanol. The product was eluted with 3.5 M ammonia in methanol. The eluent was concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound 6 c as a yellow oil $(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.38(\mathrm{td}, J=7.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) 181$ ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Boc}$ ).
cis-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-ylamine (7). Synthesized following the procedure from Andreotti and Ward ${ }^{17}$ for the analogous des-fluoro compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 2.62-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right) 182$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-[(4-methylphenyl)sulfonyl]-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylate (9b). A solution of 4-fluorobenzaldehyde ( $15.2 \mathrm{~g}, 122 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), toluene-4-sulfonamide ( $20.9 \mathrm{~g}, 122$ mmol ), and borontrifluoride-etherate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous toluene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at reflux with a Sean-Stark trap for 4 h . The solution was allowed to cool to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the solution was added ethyl 2-butynoate $(14.4 \mathrm{~g}, 128 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tri- $n$-butylphosphine $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 6.1 mmol ), and the solution was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solution was concentrated in vacuo to give a reddish-brown oil which was purified by flash chromatography to give the title compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ $(34.3 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-$ $4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}$ $\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right): 390(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.

1-tert-Butyl 3-Ethyl 2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-pyrrole-1,3-dicarboxylate (10b). To a solution of tosylate $9 \mathrm{~b}(17.2 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous dimethylformamide $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of potassium tert-butoxide in tetrahydrofuran $(1.0 \mathrm{M}$, $46 \mathrm{~mL}, 46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 1.5 h . The solution was then allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 min and stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . To the solution of pyrrole was added Boc anhydride ( $19.2 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4dimethylaminopyridine $(110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The tetrahydrofuran was removed in vacuo. The residue was diluted with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with diethyl ether $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organics were washed with brine ( 200 mL ), dried with magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash chromatography to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 0 b}(12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.24-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right): 334$ $(M+H)$.

1-tert-Butyl 3-Ethyl (2S,3R)-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-1,3-dicarboxylate (11b). A mixture of pyrrole 10b ( $12.7 \mathrm{~g}, 38$ mmol ) and platinum(IV) oxide ( $7.5 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 200 mL ) was shaken in a Parr shaker with 45 psi hydrogen at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The catalyst was removed by filtering through Celite and rinsing with ethanol. CAUTION: Care must be taken to not let the filter cake go dry to avoid a fire. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound 11b ( $12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ ppm $1.04(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-2.13 (m, 1 H), 2.34-2.49 (m, 1 H), 3.31-3.45 (m, 1 H), 3.45$3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-5.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 6.92-7.00 (m, 2 H), 7.06-7.17 (m, 2 H). LC/MS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$): 238 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}$ - Boc ).
cis-1-Acetyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidine-3-carboxylic Acid (12b). A solution of ester $\mathbf{1 1 b}(12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous 6 N hydrochloric acid ( 100 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and solid sodium bicarbonate was added slowly and carefully until the final pH was 8 . To the solution was added acetic anhydride ( $11 \mathrm{~g}, 107 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the solution was stirred at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and aqueous 6 N hydrochloric acid was added dropwise to lower the pH to 2 . The aqueous solution was extracted with methyl tert-butyl ether. The organics were washed with brine, dried with magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The oil was reconcentrated from heptane and ethyl acetate to give a light yellow solid. The solid was triturated with heptane (ca. 250 mL ) using vigorous stirring, collected by vacuum filtration, and rinsed with heptane to give a white solid which was dried overnight at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high vacuum to give the title compound 12b ( $8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$, $1: 1$ mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 1.98 (s, 1.5 H , rotamer B), 1.91-2.07 (m, 1 H), 2.07-2.29 (m, 1 H$), 3.34-$ $3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $3.91(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.5 H , rotamer B), 5.18 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.00-7.11$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $7.11-7.27$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ plus 1 H , rotamer B), 12.33 (br s, 1 H ). LC/MS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$): 252 (M + H).
cis-2-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-formylpyrrolidine-3-carboxylic Acid (12c). A solution of ester 11 b ( $9.15 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aqueous 6 N hydrochloric acid ( 53 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and solid sodium bicarbonate was added slowly and carefully until the final pH was 8 . To the solution was added ethyl formate ( $54 \mathrm{~mL}, 675 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . The reaction was cooled to about $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acidified to pH 1 using 6 N aqueous hydrochloric acid (ca. 30 mL ). The product was extracted with ethyl ether ( 600,300 , and 200 mL ). The combined organics were dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound 12c ( $5.87 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$, 1.6:1 mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.94-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-$ 3.48 (m, 1 H plus 0.6 H , rotamer A), $3.65(\mathrm{td}, J=9.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $3.70-3.78$ (m, 0.6 H , rotamer A), $3.98(\mathrm{td}, J=9.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.4 H , rotamer B), 5.16 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), 5.34 (d, $J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $7.04-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 8.21 ( $\mathrm{s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), 12.39 (br s, 1 H ). LCMS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$): 238 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
cis-1-[3-Amino-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl]ethanone Hydrochloride Salt (13b). A solution of acid 12b ( $8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and diphenylphosphoryl azide ( 12.5 g , $45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The solution was cooled to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ potassium tert-butoxide solution in tetrahydrofuran ( $68 \mathrm{~mL}, 68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min . Boc anhydride ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, 55$ mmol ) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added, and the mixture was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The solution was washed with saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution $(2 \times 600 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 500 mL ), dried with magnesium sulfate, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude was purified by flash chromatography to give cis-tert-butyl [1-acetyl-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-3-yl] carbamate ( 5.3 g , $50 \%, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), which was dissolved in a solution of anhydrous methylene chloride ( 160 mL ) and 4 M hydrochloric acid in dioxane
$(23 \mathrm{~mL}, 92 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the solution was stirred at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the white solid was dried under high vacuum at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the title compound $\mathbf{1 3 b}(4.3 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}, 1.3: 1$ mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $1.77-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 2.13-2.30 (m, 1 H), 3.38-3.51 (m, 0.4 H, rotamer B), $3.58-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 3.73-3.88 (m, 1 H$), 3.88-4.02(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.15-7.31$ (m, 2 H ), $7.31-7.44$ (m, 2 H ), 8.10 (br s, 1.7 H , rotamer A), 8.19 (br s, 1.3 H , rotamer B). LC/MS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$) 223 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$.
[4-Amino-7-(propan-2-yl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl][6-(methylamino)pyrazin-2-yl]methanone (14). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.81 (br s, 1 H ), 5.11 (quin, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.12 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1$ H), $8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (ESI $\left.{ }^{+}\right): 312$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O} \cdot 2.85 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 49.92 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.99 ; \mathrm{N}$, 26.71. Found: C, 49.67; H, 6.31; N, 27.03 .
[4-Amino-7-(propan-2-yl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl](6-%7B%5B2-(pyridin-3-yl)ethyl%5Damino%7Dpyrazin-2-yl)methanone (15). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $3.03(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.07 (quin, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48-8.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right)$: $403(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 59.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.73$; N, 26.07. Found: C, 58.98; H, 5.84; N, 26.20.
[4-Amino-7-(propan-2-yl)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl](6-%7B%5B(1R,2R)-2-phenylcyclopentyl%5Damino%7Dpyrazin-2-yl)methanone (17). Enantiomers were separated on a Chiracel OD-H column with $30 \%$ methanol, $70 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$; the more active enantiomer eluted first and is the $(+)$ isomer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $\operatorname{ppm} 1.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.89$ (m, 2 H ), $1.90-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), $4.55-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (quin, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dq}, J=$ 8.6, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12-7.20 (m, 5 H ), 7.46 (br s, 1 H ), 7.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): $442(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.50 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.82$; H, 6.20; N, 21.62. Found: C, 66.65; H, 6.26; N, 21.76.
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)-\{6-[(1R,2R)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)cyclopentylamino]pyrazin-2-yl\}methanone (18). Single enantiomers were obtained by separating the N -Boc derivative of $\mathbf{6 a}$ using a Chiralpak AD-H column eluting with $9 \%$ methanol and $91 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$; the desired enantiomer eluted first and had optical rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}+47(c 0.25, \mathrm{MeOH})$. The Boc group was removed with HCl before coupling to $1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.62-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-$ $4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.20$ (m, 3 H ), 7.45 (br s, 1 H$), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.32 (br s, 1 H), $8.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$): $460(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OF} \cdot 0.75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 63.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.72 ; \mathrm{N}, 20.48$. Found: C, 63.48; H, 5.86 ; N, 20.73.
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)(6$\{[(1 R, 2 R)$-2-(4-fluorophenyl)cyclopentyl]methylamino\}pyrazin-2-yl)methanone (19). The single enantiomer was prepared from the single enantiomer of N -Boc protected 6a (see 18 above) as shown in Scheme 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.39-1.48$ (m, 6 H), 1.61-1.75 (m, 1 H), $1.98-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.49 (br s, 1 H ), 8.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.27-$ $8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right): 474$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{OF} \cdot 0.50 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.94$; N, 20.25. Found: C, 64.71 ; H, 6.06; N, 20.32.
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)\{6-[(3S,4R)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-ylamino]-pyrazin-2-yl\}methanone (20). Single enantiomers were obtained by separating the N -Boc derivative of $\mathbf{6 b}$ using a Chiralpak AD-H column eluting with $9 \%$ methanol and $91 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$; the desired enantiomer eluted first and had optical rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+61$ (c 2.28, $\mathrm{MeOH})$. The Boc group was removed with HCl before coupling to $\mathbf{1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$
(d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 4.99 (quin, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.96-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.42 (d, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.48 (br s, 1 H ), 8.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.14 (s, 1 H), 8.21 (s, 1 H), 8.29 (br s, 1 H), 8.69 ( s, 1 H). LC/MS (APCI ${ }^{+}$): $462(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \cdot 0.60 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 60.97; H, 5.30; N, 20.61. Found: C, 61.03; H, 5.38; N, 20.76.
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)\{6-[(2R,3R)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)tetrahydrofuran-3-ylamino]-pyrazin-2-yl\}methanone (21). Single enantiomers were obtained by separating the N -Boc derivative of 7 using a Chiralpak AD-H column eluting with $10 \%$ methanol and $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 140 bar; the desired enantiomer eluted first and had optical rotation $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+30$ (c $0.83, \mathrm{MeOH}$ ). The Boc group was removed with HCl before coupling to 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.56(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.27$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{td}, J=8.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{td}$, $J=8.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.06-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right)$: $462(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \cdot 0 \cdot 75 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.17 \mathrm{DMSO}: \mathrm{C}$, 60.13; H, 5.33; N, 19.81. Found: C, 59.87; H, 5.47; N, 20.08.
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)\{6-[(3S,4S)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-3-ylamino]pyrazin-2-yl\}methanone Formate Salt (22). The enantiomers were separated by chiral SFC using a Chiracel OJ-H column eluted with $30 \%$ methanol and $70 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, with the desired enantiomer eluting first. The single enantiomer was repurified by preparative HPLC (acetonitrile/water gradient with formic acid). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ $1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2$, $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.23-3.35 (m, 1 H), 3.38-3.53 (m, 2 H), 3.55-3.68 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98$ (quin, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23 (dd, $J=8.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (br s, 1 H ), 7.64 (d, $J=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. LC/MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): $461(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{8} \mathrm{O} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot 1.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 55.8 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.67$; N, 20.58. Found: C, 55.71; H, 5.72; N, 20.79 .
(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)\{6-[(2R,3R)-2-(4-fluorophenyl)pyrrolidin-3-ylamino]pyrazin-2-yl\}methanone Formate Salt (23). The single enantiomer was synthesized from the single enantiomer of 24 (see below) as described in the text. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ H), 2.92-3.04 (m, 1 H), 3.18-3.29 (m, 1 H ), 4.35 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $4.60-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99$ (quin, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ H), $7.20-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.20 (s, 1 H), 8.30 (br s, 1 H), 8.72 (s, 1 H). LC/MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): 461 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{FO} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \cdot 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 55.96$; H, 5.54; N, 20.70. Found: C, 55.95; H, 5.63; N, 20.71.
(2R,3R)-3-[6-(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidine-5-carbonyl)pyrazin-2-ylamino]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidine-1-carbaldehyde (24). The enantiomers were separated by chiral SFC using a Chiracel OD-H column eluted with $40 \%$ methanol and $60 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} .[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+454(c 0.37, \mathrm{MeOH}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$, 1.7:1 mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta$ ppm 1.29-1.43 (m, 6 H), 1.81-2.03 (m, 1 H), 2.09-2.23 (m, 1 H), 3.29-3.40 (m, 0.6 H, rotamer A), $3.57(\mathrm{td}, J=10.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 3.97 ( $\mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $4.46-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $4.56-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 4.87 (sxt, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.13 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), 5.26 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $6.83-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92-6.99(\mathrm{~m}$, 0.7 H , rotamer B), $6.99-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 7.39 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 8.02 (s, 0.6 H , rotamer A), $8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $8.22(\mathrm{~s}$, 0.4 H , rotamer B), 8.23 ( $\mathrm{s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 8.26 (br s, 1 H ), 8.77 ( s , 0.4 H , rotamer B), $8.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}\right.$, rotamer A). LC/MS ( $\mathrm{APCI}^{+}$): 489 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \cdot 1.80 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 57.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.4 ; \mathrm{N}$, 21.59. Found: C, 57.64; H, 5.53 ; N, 21.51.

1-[(2R,3R)-3-[6-(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidine-5-carbonyl)pyrazin-2-ylamino]-2-(4-fluorophenyl)-pyrrolidin-1-yl]ethanone (25). The enantiomers were separated by
chiral SFC using a Chiracel OD-H column eluted with $40 \%$ methanol and $60 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} \cdot[\alpha]^{22}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+595$ (c 0.21, MeOH). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}, 1.4: 1$ mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta$ ppm 1.37-1.50 (m, 6 H ), 1.59 (s, 1.3 H, rotamer B), 2.00 ( s, 1.7 H, rotamer A), 1.93-2.09 (m, 1 H), 2.16-2.30 (m, 1 H), $3.37-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $3.52-3.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $3.83(\mathrm{t}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $3.98(\mathrm{t}$, $J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $4.48-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $4.70-$ $4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $4.88-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.4 H , rotamer B), $5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $6.87-6.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $6.96-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.6 H , rotamer A), 7.48 (br s, 1 H ), 8.02 ( s, 1 H ), 8.20 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.33 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{LC} / \mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{APCI}^{+}\right): 503(\mathrm{M}+$ H). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{FO}_{2} \cdot 0.70 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 60.71$; H, 5.48; N, 21.61. Found: C, 60.62 ; H, 5.56 ; N, 21.75 .

1-\{(2R,3R)-3-[6-(4-Amino-7-isopropyl-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]-pyrimidine-5-carbonyl)pyrazin-2-ylamino]-2-phenylpyrroli-din-1-yl\}ethanone (26). The single enantiomer was obtained by separating intermediate 13a using a Chiralpak AS-H column eluted with $20 \%$ methanol and $80 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$; the desired enantiomer of 13 a was the $(+)$ isomer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}, 1.3: 1$ mixture of rotamers A:B) $\delta \mathrm{ppm} 1.36-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A$), 2.02-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.37-3.47$ (m, 0.4 H, rotamer B), $3.60(\mathrm{td}, J=10.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $3.77-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6$ H, rotamer A), $4.47-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $4.71-4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4$ H, rotamer B), $4.91-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), 5.29 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 6.89 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1.1 H , rotamer A), $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.9 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $7.13-7.25$ (m, 1.7 H , rotamer A), $7.25-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1.3 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B plus 0.4 H , rotamer B), $7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), $7.48(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer B), $8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$, rotamer A), 8.36 (br s, 1 H ), 8.94 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). LC/MS (ESI ${ }^{+}$): 485 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot 2 \cdot 0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 60.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.98 ; \mathrm{N}$, 21.29. Found: C, 59.99; H, 6.20; N, 21.52 .
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## (5) Supporting Information

Further experimental details; full kinase profiling from Invitrogen for analogues 24, 25, and 26; and crystallographic methods and data for $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 20 in PDK1. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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PDB nos.: cmpd 15 in PDK1, 3RWQ; cmpd 20 in PDK1, 3RWP.
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## - ABBREVIATIONS USED

ADME, absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion; APCI, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; CHAPS, 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)-dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate; CYP, cytochrome P450; 4-DMAP, $N, N$-dimethyl-4-aminopyridine; DTT, dithiothreitol; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; EGTA, ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid; ESI, electrospray ionization; GST,
glutathione S-transferase; Hepes, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; HLM, human liver microsome; LE, ligand efficiency; PDK1, 3-phosphoinositide-dependent kinase; PH, pleckstrin homology; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3 kinase; $\mathrm{PIP}_{3}$, phosphaditylinositol-(3,4,5)-triphosphate; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homologue; SFC, supercritical fluid chromatography; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; TAMRA, tetramethylrhodamine; T308, threonine-308; TR-FRET, timeresolved fluorescence resonance energy transfer; Tris, tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane; Ts, 4-toluenesulfonyl
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